

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $G \subset Gal(L/K)$  be a finite subgroup,  
 $L^G := \{\alpha \in L | g(\alpha) = \alpha, \forall g \in G\}$ . Then  $[L : L^G] = |G|$  where  $|G|$  is  
the order of the group  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $n = |G|$ ,  $G = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ ,  $m = [L : L^G]$  and  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$   
be a basis of  $L$  as an  $L^G$ -vector space. We have to show that  $m = n$ .

We first show that  $m \geq n$ . Suppose  $m < n$ . We denote by

$$A : L^n \rightarrow L^m$$

an  $L$ -linear map given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m), \gamma_j := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i(\alpha_j)$$

Since  $m < n$  we know that  $Ker(A) \neq \{0\}$ . So there exist  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset L$   
such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  and for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i(\alpha_j) = 0$$

Since  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  an  $L^G$ -basis of  $L$  we see that for any  $\alpha \in L$  we have  
 $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i(\alpha) = 0$ . In other words field homomorphisms  $g_1, \dots, g_n : L \rightarrow L$   
are linearly dependent. But this is not possible [ see the Dedekinds's  
lemma]. So  $m \geq n$ .

Now we show that  $m \leq n$ . Suppose that  $m > n$ . Then we can find  
 $n+1$  elements  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1}) \in L$  which are linearly independent over  $L^G$ .  
Consider an  $L$ -linear map  $B : L^{n+1} \rightarrow L^n$ ,  $B(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$   
where

$$\gamma_i := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \delta_j g_j(\beta_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Since  $m > n$  we see that  $Ker(B) \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore there exist  
 $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1} \in L$  such that  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \delta_j g_j(\beta_i) = 0 \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Now we will argue as in the process of the proof of the Dedekinds's  
lemma. So we choose  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1} \in L$  such that  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$   
and

$$(\star) \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \delta_j g_i(\beta_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

in such a way that the minimal number of  $\delta_i$  are different from 0. After renumbering we can assume that  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$

$$(\star) \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j g_i(\beta_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

and that for any sequence  $\delta'_j, 1 \leq j \leq r-1$  such that  $(\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{r-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  there exists  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \delta'_j g_i(\beta_j) \neq 0$$

Let us apply  $g \in G$  to  $(\star)$ . We will get a system of equalities

$$(\star_g) \sum_{j=1}^r g(\delta_j) g g_i(\beta_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

As follows from Lemma 4.2c) the set  $\{g g_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$  coincides with the set  $\{g_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore the system  $(\star)_g$  of equalities is equivalent to the system

$$(\star\star)_g \sum_{j=1}^r g(\delta_j) g_i(\beta_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

If we multiply  $(\star)$  by  $g(\delta_r)$ , multiply  $(\star\star)$  by  $\delta_r$  and subtract we obtain the system

$$(\star\star\star)_g \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (g(\delta_r) \delta_j - \delta_r g(\delta_j)) g_i(\beta_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

This is system of equations like  $(\star)$  but with fewer terms. So our choice of  $r$  implies that for any  $g \in G$  all the coefficients

$$g(\delta_r) \delta_j - \delta_r g(\delta_j), 1 \leq j \leq r-1$$

are equal to zero. But this implies that for all  $g \in G$  we have

$c_j = g(c_j), 1 \leq j < r$  were  $c_j := \delta_j \delta_r^{-1}$ . By the definition of the field  $L^G$  we know that  $c_j \in L^G, 1 \leq j < r$ . Therefore the first of the equalities  $(\star)$  implies the equality  $\sum_{j=1}^r \delta_r c_j \beta_j = 0$ . Since  $\delta_r \neq 0$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \beta_j = 0$ .

But such an equality would imply that the elements  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in L$  are linearly dependent over  $L^G$ . But this is not possible since the

elements  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1}) \in L$  are linearly independent over  $L^G$ . So you see that the assumption  $m > n$  also leads to a contradiction and we have  $m = n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $L \supset K$  be a finite field extension. A *normal closure* of  $L : K$  is an extension  $N$  of  $L$  such that

a)  $N : K$  is normal

and

b) if  $F$  is a field such that  $L \subset F \subset N$  and  $F : K$  is normal then  $F = N$ .

**Definition 5.2.** If  $M, N$  be two extensions of  $K$  and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  a field homomorphism we say that  $f$  is a  $K$ -homomorphism if  $f(c) = c, \forall c \in K$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** a) for any finite field extension  $L \supset K$  there exists normal closure  $N$  of  $L : K$  such that  $[N : K] < \infty$ ,

b) if  $N \supset L$  is another normal closure of  $L : K$  then the extensions  $M : K$  and  $N : K$  are isomorphic.

**Proof of a).** Let  $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  be a basis of  $L$  over  $K$ . For any  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  we define  $p_i(t) := \text{Irr}(\alpha_i, K, t) \in K[t]$  and then define  $q(t) := \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(t)$ . Let  $N$  be a splitting field for  $q(t)$  over  $L$ . Since  $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  we see that  $N$  is a splitting field for  $q(t)$  over  $K$ . It follows now from Theorem 4.2 that  $N : K$  is normal.

To prove that  $N$  is a normal closure of  $L : K$  we have to show that for any  $F, L \subset F \subset N$  such that  $F : K$  is normal we have  $F = N$ . Since  $F \supset L$  we know that for any  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  the irreducible polynomial  $p_i(t), 1 \leq i \leq n$  has a root  $\alpha_i$  in  $F$ . Since  $F : K$  is normal all the roots of  $p_i(t)$  are in  $F$ . Therefore all the roots of  $q(t)$  are in  $F$ . Since  $N$  is a splitting field for  $q(t)$  over  $K$  we see that  $F = N$ .  $\square$

**Proof of b).** Suppose that  $N, M$  are two normal closures of  $L : K$ . Then as follows from the proof of a) both  $N$  and  $M$  are splitting fields of  $q(t)$ . It follows now from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a  $K$ -isomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** a) Let  $K \subset L \subset M \subset N$  be finite field extensions such that  $M$  is a normal closure of  $L : K$  and  $f : L \rightarrow N$  be a  $K$ -homomorphism. Then  $\text{Im}(f) \subset M$ ,

b) Suppose  $L \supset K$  is a finite field extension, and  $M \supset L$  a normal extension containing  $L$ . Then for any  $K$ -homomorphism  $g : L \rightarrow M$  there exists an isomorphism  $\tilde{g} : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tilde{g}(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in L$ ,

c) Suppose  $L \supset K$  is a finite field extension, and  $M \supset L$  a normal extension containing  $L$  such that for any  $K$ -homomorphism  $f : L \rightarrow M$  we have  $Im(f) \subset L$ . Then the extension  $L \supset K$  is normal,

d) If  $K \subset L \subset M$  are finite field extensions such that  $M : K$  is normal then  $M : L$  is also normal.

The proof of Lemma 5.2 assigned as a homework problem.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $L \supset K$  be a finite extension,  $M \supset L$  a normal extension containing  $L$ .

a) We denote by  $H(L/K)$  the set of  $K$ -homomorphisms of  $L$  to  $M$ .

**Remark.** It follows from Lemma 5.2 this set does not depend on a choice of a normal extension  $M$ .

b) we denote by  $[L : K]_s$  the number of elements in the set  $H(L/K)$  and say that  $[L : K]_s$  is the *separable degree* of  $L$  over  $K$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $K \subset F \subset L$  be finite field extensions. Then  $[L : K]_s = [L : F]_s [F : K]_s$

**Proof .** For any field homomorphism  $g \in H(F/K)$  we denote by  $H(L/K)_g \subset H(L/K)$  the subset of field homomorphism  $f \in H(L/K)$  such that  $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in F$ . It is clear that  $H(L/K)_{Id} = H(L/F)$  and that

$$H(L/K) = \cup_{g \in H(F/K)} H(L/K)_g$$

Therefore

$$[L : K]_s = \sum_{g \in H(F/K)} |(H(L/K)_g)|$$

**Claim.** For any  $g \in H(F/K)$  we have  $|(H(L/K)_g)| = |H(L/K)_{Id}|$ .

**Proof of the Claim.** Choose  $g \in H(F/K)$ . As follows from Lemma 5.2 there exists an isomorphism  $\tilde{g} : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tilde{g}(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in L$ . It is clear that

$$\tilde{g}(H(L/K)_{Id}) = (H(L/K)_g) \square$$

Now we can finish the proof of Lemma 5.3. Since  $H(L/K)_{Id} = H(L/F)$  we have  $|(H(L/K)_{Id})| = [L : F]_s$  and it follows from the Claim that  $|(H(L/K)_g)| = [L : F]_s \forall g \in H(F/K)$ . So  $[L : K]_s = [L : F]_s [F : K]_s$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $L \supset K$  be a finite extension. Then

a)  $[L : K] \geq [L : K]_s$

b) the extension  $L \supset K$  is separable iff  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$ .

**Proof .** Consider first the case when  $L \supset K$  is an elementary extension. That is there exists  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $L = K(\alpha)$ . As follows from Lemma 3.3 the separable degree  $[L : K]_s$  is equal to the number

of roots of the polynomial  $p(t) := Irr(\alpha, K, t)$  in  $M$ . We know that  $\deg(p(t)) = [L : K]$ , that  $[L : K]_s \leq \deg(p(t)) = [L : K]$  and that  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$  iff the polynomial  $p(t)$  is separable. So the Theorem 5.2 is true for elementary extensions.

Now we prove the Theorem 5.2 by induction in  $[L : K]$ . If  $[L : K] = 1$  then  $L = K$  and there is nothing to prove. So assume  $[L : K] > 1$ , choose  $\alpha \in L - K$  and write  $p(t) := Irr(\alpha, K, t)$ .

Since  $[L : K(\alpha)] < [L : K]$  we know from the inductive assumption that  $[L : K(\alpha)]_s < [L : K(\alpha)]$ . It follows now from Lemma 5.4 that

$$[L : K]_s = [L : K(\alpha)]_s [K(\alpha) : K]_s \leq [L : K(\alpha)] [K(\alpha) : K]$$

This prove the part a).

Assume now that  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$ . We want to show that the extension  $L \supset K$  is separable. Since we now that

$[L : K(\alpha)] \leq [L : K(\alpha)]_s$  and  $[K(\alpha) : K]_s \leq [K(\alpha) : K]$  the equality  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$  implies the equality  $[K(\alpha) : K] = [K(\alpha) : K]_s$ . So it follows from the beginning of the proof of Theorem 5.2 that the polynomial  $p(t) := Irr(\alpha, K, t)$  is is separable. We see that for any  $\alpha \in L$  the polynomial  $p(t) := Irr(\alpha, K, t)$  is is separable. Therefore the extension  $L \supset K$  is separable.

Assume now that the extension  $L \supset K$  is separable. We want to show that  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$ . We start with the following result.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $K \subset F \subset L$  be finite extensions. If the extension  $L : K$  is separable then the extensions  $L : F$  and  $F : K$  are also separable.

**Proof .** Suppose the extension  $L : K$  is separable. It follows from the definition that the extension  $F : K$  is also separable.

So we have. Let  $M$  be a normal closure of  $L : K$ . To show that the extension  $L : F$  is separable we have to show that for any  $\alpha \in L$  the polynomial

$r(t) := Irr(\alpha, F, t) \in F[t]$  has simple roots in  $M$ . Let

$R(t) := Irr(\alpha, K, t) \in K[t]$ . Since  $L : K$  is separable we know that the polynomial  $R(t)$  has simple roots in  $M$ . On the other hand  $r(t) | R(t)$ , because  $R$  is a polynomial in  $K[t] \subset F[t]$  with  $R(\alpha) = 0$  but  $r$  is the *minimal* polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$  so it generates the ideal of polynomials in  $F[t]$  vanishing at  $\alpha$ . So all the roots of  $r(t)$  are simple.  $\square$

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 5.2. Let  $L \supset K$  be a separable extension. We want to show that  $[L : K] = [L : K]_s$ . Since  $[L : K]_s = [L : K(\alpha)]_s [K(\alpha) : K]_s$  and filed extensions  $L : K(\alpha)$

and  $[K(\alpha) : K]$  are separable the equality follows from the inductive assumption.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** a). Let  $K \subset F \subset L$  be finite extensions. If the extensions  $L : F$  and  $F : K$  are separable then the extension  $L : K$  is also separable.

b) If  $K \subset L$  is a finite separable extension then the normal closure  $M$  of  $L : K$  is separable over  $K$ .

The proof of Lemma 5.5 is assigned as a homework problem.

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $L \supset K$  be a finite normal field extension,  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$  be the Galois group of  $L : K$ . To any intermediate field extension  $F, K \subset F \subset L$  we can assign a subgroup  $H(F) \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$  define by

$$H(F) := \{h \in \text{Gal}(L/K) \mid h(f) = f \forall f \in F\}$$

By the definition  $H(F) = \text{Gal}(L : F)$ .

Conversely to any subgroup  $H \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$  we can assign an intermediate field extension  $L^H, K \subset L^H \subset L$  where

$$L^H := \{l \in L \mid h(l) = l \forall h \in H\}$$

In other words if  $A(L, K)$  is the set of fields  $F$  in between  $K$  and  $L$  and  $B(L, K)$  is the set of subgroups of  $G$  we constructed maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : A(L, K) &\rightarrow B(L, K), F \rightarrow H(F) \text{ and} \\ \eta : B(L, K) &\rightarrow A(L, K), H \rightarrow L^H. \end{aligned}$$

**The Main theorem of the Galois theory.**

Let  $L \supset K$  a finite normal separable field extension. Then

- a)  $|\text{Gal}(L/K)| = [L : K]$ ,
- b)  $L^G = K$
- c) the maps  $\tau : A(L, K) \rightarrow B(L, K), F \rightarrow H(F)$  and  $\eta : B(L, K) \rightarrow A(L, K), H \rightarrow L^H$  are one-to-one and onto.

**Proof.** The part a) follows from Theorem 5.2.

Proof of b). Let  $F := L^H$ . As follows from a), the product formula and Theorem 5.1 we have  $[F : K] = [L : K]/[L : F] = 1$ . So  $F = K$ .

Proof of c). We have to show that

- i)  $\tau \circ \eta = \text{Id}_{A(L, K)}$  and
- ii)  $\eta \circ \tau = \text{Id}_{B(L, K)}$ .

Proof of i). Let  $F \in A(L, K)$  be subfield of  $L$  containing  $K$ ,  $H(F) := \tau(F) \subset G$ . Since the extension  $L \supset K$  is normal it follows from Lemma 5.2 that the extension  $L \supset F$  is also normal. So it follows from a) that

$|H(F)| = [L : F]$ . Since  $H(F) = \text{Gal}(L : F)$  it follows from b) that  $L^H = F$ . So  $\tau \circ \eta(F) = F$ .

ii) Let  $U \subset B(L, K)$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $F := L^U$ . Define  $H := H(F)$ . We want to show that  $U = H$ . By the definition, for any  $u \in U, \alpha \in F$  we have  $u(\alpha) = \alpha$ . In other words  $U \subset H$ . As follows from Theorem 5.1 we have  $[L : F] = |U|$ . On the other hand, it follows from i) that  $[L : F] = |H|$ . So  $|U| = |H|$  and the inclusion  $U \subset H$  implies that  $U = H$ .  $\square$